Easterling, Deborah

280956

From:

Rouse, Robert W

Sent:

Monday, December 17, 2018 12:52 PM

To:

Easterling, Deborah

Subject:

Re: Scanned document from HP ePrint user

Thank you for filing my complaint. I do believe the Commission owes a fiduciary responsibility to the 720,000 SCANA consumers who were the true victims but were innocent bystanders in this situation. I think, and I may be completely wrong, but the Commission's responsibility lies with the consumers, most of whom are among the voting public, and not with SCANA stockholders and SCANA management. Thank you again, Robert Rouse. These are just my opinions..

From: Easterling, Deborah < Deborah. Easterling@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 12:22 PM

To: Rouse, Robert W

Subject: RE: Scanned document from HP ePrint user

Dear Mr. Rouse,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Letter of Protest/Comments to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Your Letter of Protest/Comments will be placed in the Comments File of the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

 Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscriptions at this link: https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email; or you can follow the individual Docket at the link listed below:

Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans - https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Dockets/Detail/116542

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Executive Assistant
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
803-896-5133
Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492

From: Rouse, Robert W [____

Sent: Friday, December 14, 2018 4:43 PM

Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Sign up for Agenda Text Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492

Tr CO 4

Phone: 803-896-5100 Fax: 803-896-5199 www.psc.sc.gov

Save as PDF file and Email form to: contact@psc.sc.gov

* Required Fields

Letter of Protest

Date: * 12/14/2018	in Docket	-	-		Print

Protestant Infor	mation:					
Name *	Robert W. Rouse				The second secon	
Mailing Address *	- -	rive				
City, State Zip *	Mt. Pleasant	· ,	SC	29466	Phone * _{	
E-mail						

1. What is your connection or interest in this case? * For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section <u>must</u> be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

The decision regarding the purchase of SCANA by Dominion.



DEC 1 7 2018

PSC SC CLERK'S OFFICE

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. * (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

In my opinion, you assessed the wrong parties the \$2.3 Billion. We consumers did nothing wrong. We did not elect top management who made some very questionable decisions. We just were at the mercy of you, the Commission and SCANA to raise our rates. We did not make the decision to contruct the two plants. Management, appointed by the stockholders, did.

The stockholders should be assessed the future liability. They elected and perpetuated top management. By doing so the accepted the risk that their delegates/management could make decisions and would make good ones. If they did not then they/stockholders would have to assume the liability or risks of the decisions. We consumers were left in the dark and were assessed billions of dollars for a hole in the ground. Now, you are going to make us continue to pay for that hole. Again, stockholders elected management to make the decisions and must assume the liability if they make poor ones. The stockholders should be assess ALL of the costs, both past and future.

I would encourage you to contact the Securities and Exchange Commission and discuss what responsibilities belong to whom. No golden parachutes to managements and claw backs should be effected.

Just be fair to us because we are the lost sheep who did nothing wrong. You have just selected the wrong folks to be assessed.

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding, if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? *

I would be happy to attend a proceeding that challenges your decision. Thank you for this opportunity to express my opinion. Justice should be equitable and meted to the faulty parties who are the stockholders who obviously elected and delegated a lot of authority to the wrong parties/management. These are my opinions.